

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,925	BATEMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Johnnie L Smith II	2881	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Johnnie L Smith II.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Anthony Janiuk.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 January 2005
**Time:** 1 P.M.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Possible double patenting*


Claims discussed:

*1 and 55*

Prior art documents discussed:

*US patent application 10/734,055*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Discussed possible double patenting issues. Decided that such issues would be overcome by filing of terminal disclaimer*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)